A new ground motion prediction equation (attenuation relation) for Japan based on the 2011 Tohoku-oki earthquake records

Nobuyuki Morikawa and Hiroyuki Fujiwara <u>National Research Institute for Earth Science</u> and <u>Disaster Prevention (NIED)</u>, Japan

Background

- We have constructed a database of strong-motion records and have obtained a new attenuation relation. (Kanno et al., 2006; BSSA)
- The Tohoku-oki mega-earthquake (Mw=9.0) on March 11, 2011, is the largest event which many strong-motion records were obtained. (over 2,000 records in Japan)
- We must consider Mw9-class mega-earthquakes (e.g. Nankai trough earthquake) in our seismic hazard assessment.

A new attenuation relation directly applicable up to Mw=9 earthquakes is required for the "Next Generation National Seismic Hazard Maps for Japan".

Strong-Motion Data during the M9 Earthquake vs Existing Japanese Attenuation Relations 42 10³ 10² 40° PGA[cm/s/s] PGV[cm/s] 10² 10¹ 38° 36° 10¹ 10⁰ 34° 144° 146° 140° 142° 10⁰ 10^{-1} 10^{2} 10^{2} 10¹ 10¹ **Assumed source fault** Dist.[km] Dist.[km] based on HERP (2011) **Observed (on the ground)** Si & Midorikawa (1999): fop inter-plate earthquakes Kataoka et al. (2006): for subduction-zone earthquakes Kanno et al. (2006): for shallow (<30km) earthquakes Satoh (2010): for inter-plate earthquakes (Pacific plate)

Strong-Motion Data

- Update the strong-motion database of Kanno et al. (2006) by adding recent (after the 2003 Tokachi-oki EQ) records.
- Up to end of 2009 and the 2011 Tohoku-oki main shock
- NIED (K-NET and KiK-net), JMA, PARI
- > Target strong-motion parameters:
- JMA seismic intensity (I)
- Peak ground acceleration (PGA)
- Peak ground velocity (PGV)
- 5% damped acceleration response spectra (SA; 0.05-10s)
- > Data used in the regression analysis
- Earthquake: *Mw*>=5.5 & number of records>=5
- Station: X<=200 km & installed on the ground surface X: closest distance to the source fault

Modeling

- > The main part follows to that in Kanno et al. (2006)
- We use only two parameters, moment magnitude (Mw) and closest distance to source fault (X) in "base model".
- We apply "additional correction terms" in order to express other phenomena such site amplification and so on.
- We obtain regression coefficients for individual earthquake category (subduction-zone earthquakes and shallow crustal earthquakes).
- We exclude the focal-depth dependency from the "base model".
- We think that the difference of attenuation term can be expressed by using the earthquake category instead of the focal depth.

Modeling of Magnitude Term

$$\log A = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} + b \cdot X - \log(X)$$

 α_i can be determined for each earthquake



" α_i " saturates at Mw=8 or more

Modeling of Magnitude Term

Model-1

Amplitude saturation is approximated by using Mw² term (based on Fukushima, 1996)

Model-2

Amplitudes are completely saturated at $Mw \ge Mw_0$



Base Model

Model-1

 $\log A = a_1^{k} (Mw - Mw_{01})^2 + b_1^{k} X - \log (X + d_1 10^{e_1 \cdot Mw}) + c_1^{k}$

Model-2

$$\log A = a_2{}^{k}Mw_{02} + b_2{}^{k}X - \log (X + d_2 \cdot 10^{e_2Mw_{02}}) + c_2{}^{k}$$
$$Mw_{02} = \min (Mw, Mw_0)$$

"k" is for subduction-zone or shallow crustal earthquakes

• *Mw*₀ & *e* are assumed to be independent of the period and earthquake category

•By trial and error approach, the following parameters fixed as

$$Mw_{01} = 16.0, e_1 = 0.3, \qquad Mw_{02} = 8.3, e_2 = 0.5$$



Obtained Base Model

Model-2

10¹

10¹

10¹





Mw=9.0, Mw=8.0, Mw=7.0, solid: subduction, dashed: crustal, thin: Kanno et al. (2006)

Comparison of Base Model with Observed Data Model-1 Model-2 2003/09/26 04:50 Mw=7.9 2003/09/26 04:50 Mw=7. 10 10² 10³ 10² 6 (s/s] PGV[cm/s] [cm/s/s] 0 10 2 -5 [cm/s] PGA[cm/s <u>ک</u>ور 3 10 10 10 10[°] 2 0 10 10 10 º 10 0 10² 10 0 10² 10 0 10 101 10¹ 10¹ 10² 10² 10[°] 10² 10 ° 10² 10 10¹ 10 10¹ X[km] X[km] X[km] X[km] X[km] , X[km] 2004/10/23 17:56 Mw=6.5 2004/10/23 17:56 Mw=6.5 6 10 10² 10 10² cm/s/s] PGV[cm/s] 0[cm/s/s] PGV[cm/s] **PGA** 3 10 10 0 10 10 9 0 10 ° 10 -1 10[°] 10 -1 10 ¹ X[km] 10² 10[°] 10[°] 10[°] 101 10² 10¹ 10² 10[°] 10¹ 10² 10 ° 101 10² 10¹ 10² 100 X[km] X[km] X[km] X[km] X[km] 2011/03/11 14:46 Mw=9.0 2011/03/11 14:46 Mw=9.0 10 7 10 10 6 6 10 3 10² [s/s/10 PGV[cm/s] [cm/s] E10 = PGAI ğ 3 10 10[°] 10 10 9 0 10 10^{-1} 0 10[°] 10 10² 10 1 10[°] 10 10² 10 ° 10 1 10² 10

10 1

X[km]

10

10²

10[°]

10¹

X[km]

10²

10 °

10¹

X[km]

10²

X[km]

X[km]

X[km]

<u>Additional Correction Term-1</u> <u>-Amplification by deep sedimentary layers-</u>

In order to model amplification characteristics by deep sedimentary layers, we examine the relation between *residual* (=log [obs/pre]) and top depth of the layer with Vs=l at observation site (D_l) obtained from the "underground structure model of deep sedimentary layers for whole Japan".

• "pre" is calculated from "base model".

• The structure model consists of 6 major layers on the seismic bedrock whose Vs = 3100 m/s or more.

1st layer: Vs = 600 m/s (engineering bedrock) 2nd layer: Vs = 1100 m/s 3rd layer: Vs = 1400 m/s 4th layer: Vs = 1700 m/s 5th layer: Vs = 2100 m/s 6th layer: Vs = 2700 m/s

<u>Additional Correction Term-1</u> <u>-Amplification by deep sedimentary layers-</u>



<u>Additional Correction Term-1</u> -Amplification by deep sedimentary layers-



<u>Additional Correction Term-2</u> <u>-Amplification by shallow soft soils-</u>

Relation between *residual* (=log [obs/pre]) and average S-wave velocity up to 30m depth at observation site (*Vs*30 in m/s)





Conclusions

- ➢ We suggest a new attenuation relation (ground motion prediction equation) for Japan directly applicable up to Mw=9 by using the strong-motion records of the 2011 Tohoku-oki mega-earthquake.
- We examine two different base models to express amplitude saturation at large magnitude, but we cannot decide which model is better yet.
- We also suggest additional terms corresponding to site amplification that can be correct not only shallow soft soils but also deep sedimentary layers.

Further Problems

 ✓ Our new model cannot be constrained at near source region for large earthquakes (X<40km & Mw>7) because there is no strong-motion records in this regression analysis.



✓ We should add many strong-motion records of aftershocks of the Tohoku-oki earthquakes.

Thank you for your attention !