The 3rd Annual Trilateral of the Strategic Chinese-Korean-Japanese Cooperative Program: Seismic Hazard Assessment for the Next Generation Map, June 16-19, 2013, Sendai, Japan

Logic Trees for Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Analysis in low Seismological Hazard Zone

Tang Aiping^{1,2} Tao Xiaxin¹

1.School of Civil Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology tangap@hit.edu.cn

2. 1.School of Civil Eng. and Env. Eng., Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute

tanga3@rpi.edu

Outline

What is Logic Tree and Why is it used in PSHA

Construction of Logic Tree for PSHA in low seismological province(LSP)

How to build the Logic Tree for LSP

u Conclusions

Part One

What is Logic Tree and Why is it used in PSHA



What is Logic Tree

- a) R.B.Kulkarni,R.R. Youngs, K.J.Coppersmith(1984)—8th WCEE, first introduced the logic tree in PSHA as a tool to capture and quantify the uncertainties related to PSHA
- b) A logic tree in PSHA is described as that all steps in which there are uncertainties to calculate the seismic hazard analysis are separated branches ,each branches are added for each of the choices that the analyst considers feasible, and a normalized weight is assigned to reflect the analyst's **Confidence** in choice of the most correct model or best estimation. The hazard calculation are then performed following all the possible branches.



Why is it used in PSHA

ØUncertainty in PSHA (R.J.Budnitz, 1997,

Senior Seismic Hazard Analysis Committee ,SSHAC,1997).)

- I epistemic uncertainty: incomplete knowledge (lack of data)
- aleatory uncertainty: inherent randomness of ground motion generation, propagations
- Typical works: 2002 Working Group on California Earthquake Probabilities, Seismic HAzard haRmonization in Europe(SHARE) project 2009

ØPSHA – Logic Tree Methodology

- To estimate the epistemic uncertainty.
- A simple mixture of models (probability distributions).
- Weighting factors based on expert opinions or special approaches

Logic tree in PSHA



The hazard calculations are followed all the possible branches through the logic tree, each analysis producing a single hazard curve showing ground motion against annual frequency of exceedance. The weighting of each hazard curve is determined by multiplying the weights along all the component branches.

Part Two

Construction of Logic Tree for PSHA in low seismological province(LSP)

Basic database

p Geology database

--- Continental dynamic, tectonic, , rock and stratum, topography, historic earthquake events, site condition

P Analyzing model database

---Seismic source model, Rupture model, Propagation and attenuation model, site response model, etc.

Expects system database

----Geology, Seismology, Earthquake Engineering, Civil engineering, Mathematics (model, selection and weighting of Logic tree, criterion)

A procedure of Logic tree for GMPE

Selection of Candidate Gound Motion Prediction Equation (GMPE)

- Identification of worldwide GMPE
- Review of the GMPE applicability range
- Adjustment for parameter compatibility
- Evaluation of the GMPE using the prososed criteria



Logic tree setting up procedure for gound motion prediction equations

Part Three

One example of Logic Tree for PSHA in low seismological province(LT-LSP)

Framework on LT-LSP



One Illustration of LT-LSP

- Geology database----Continental dynamic, tectonic system, active fault, GPS monitoring.....
- Seismological database---Earthquake events(historic and device records), Microtremors, M-T, artificial explosion.....
- Models database--- Probability models,
 Potential seismic source and Seismic Source,
 GMPE, Site response.....

Geology database in GIS





Bouguer gravity anomaly and deep-fault system



Buried active fault





1-12 湖南省岩浆岩分布图

Ⅰ.桃江一白马山岩浆带 Ⅱ.弗里山一南岳岩浆岩带 Ⅲ.炎- 郴- 蓝岩浆岩带 Ⅳ. 沩山- 彭 公庙岩浅岩带 Ⅴ. 关帝庙一骑田岭岩浆岩带 Ⅵ. 阳明山- 塔山岩浆岩带 Ⅵ. 姑婆山- 烤广 山岩浆岩带。

Fault and volcano





Seismological database-





Continental deformation and earthquake events



Models database

– Potential seismic source area models

--- tectonic model, seismological model, Hybrid model

- Earthquake source models—empirical models, New hybrid models(observed data and simulation data), earthquake observed model, etc
- -Magnitude distribution models
- Ground motion prediction models----Tao, Gao, Chen, Yu, Abrahamson and Silva, Boore, Campbell and Bozorgnia, Chiou and Youngs, Irikura, Si, Kanno, Idriss, Scherbaum, etc.
- Site response models—linear models, nonlinear models





Results



fault rupture modeling



Attenuation Relationship of Spectrum Acc.

$I = A + BM + Clg(R + R_0)$

Zone	A	В	С	Ro	σ	
1	5.6018	1.4347	-4. 4899	25	0.5924	Western
	3. 6113	1. 4347	-3.8477	13	0.5924	
2	6.458	1.2746	-4. 4709	25	0.6636	Northern
	3.3682	1.2746	-3.3119	9	0.6636	
3	5.7123	1.3626	-4. 2903	25	0.5826	Eastern
	3.6588	1.3626	-3. 5406	13	0.5826	
4	5.841	1.071	-3.657	15	0. 5200	Southern
	3.944	1.071	-2.845	7	0. 5200	

Intensity attenuation model in different division based hybrid data







Intensity attenuation model for different expects







Conclusions

- Although it is now common practice to treat uncertainty in seismic hazard analysis with a logic tree approach, there is no standard procedure that describes how the tree should be constructed. Herein, we shared our experience on this subject by presenting the strategy that was adopted to build a logic tree for Low seismological province. As the greater magnitude, the much more uncertainty
- Ø Gathering as much knowledge and Data as possible from independent sources and different methods, and Logic tree method can capture the epistemic uncertainties and do a sensitivity analysis to check the impact on the seismic hazard
- Ø Expects including multidisciplinary are a good way to get and deal with epistemic uncertainties
- Ø GIS is a powerful tool to set up a Logic tree for PSHA

Thanks for your attentions !

Questions and comments ?