# Recent Advances in Development of Ground Motion Prediction Equations



#### Yousef Bozorgnia, Ph.D., P.E.

Executive Director, Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center (PEER), University of California, Berkeley

### **Ground Motion "Mega" Research Projects at PEER**

#### NGA-West2

Ground motion hazard in active tectonic regions

#### NGA-East

Ground motion hazard in low seismicity regions

#### NGA-Sub

Ground motion hazard in Subduction regions

#### GEM

Global Earthquake Model



**NGA-West2 Next Generation Attenuation (NGA)** Models for Shallow Crustal Earthquakes in Active **Tectonic Regions** 

PEER

# **NGA-West1**

#### NGA-West1 (Original NGA Project)

- PEER compiled a very comprehensive database of ground motions recorded in shallow crustal earthquakes in active tectonic regions
- Numerous supporting research studies were also carried out
- In 2008, Next Generation Attenuation (NGA) ground motion prediction equations (GMPEs) were developed
- USGS adopted the NGA-West1 GMPEs for the US National Seismic Hazard Maps
- NGA-West2 is a follow-up of NGA-West1

# NGA-West2 Sub-Projects



#### Update worldwide database



**Closest Distance to Rupture (km)** 



#### Update worldwide database



**Closest Distance to Rupture (km)** 



#### Update worldwide database



database was increased by a factor of 5.5

PEER

#### <u>Moderate-to-large</u> magnitude worldwide database



**Closest Distance to Rupture (km)** 



#### <u>Moderate-to-large</u> magnitude worldwide database



Closest Distance to Rupture (km)



#### Examples of data added to NGA-West2 database

Earthquake Name*	Year	Μ	N Rec	Rrup Range (km)
Tottori, Japan	2000	6.61	414	1-333
Niigata, Japan	2004	6.63	530	8-300
Chuetsu-oki, Japan	2007 /	6.8	616	10-300
iwate, Japan	2008	6.9	367	5-280
El Mayor-Cucapah, CA	2010	7.2	238	11-240
Darfield, New Zealand	2010	7	114	1-540
Christchurch, New Zealand	2011	6.1	104	2-440
Wenchuan, China	2008	7.9	263	1-1500
L'Aquila, Italy	2009	6.3	48	5-230

\*subset of added events



#### **Comparison of NGA-West1 & NGA-West2** databases

Data					Periods
Set	# EQs	# Rec	Sa Type	Damping	(sec)
NGA-					
West1	173	3,551	AR, GMRotl50	5%	0.01 - 10
NGA-					
West2	610	19,400	AR, (RotDnn)	(0.5-30%)	(0.01 - 20)

AR= As-recorded



# **RotDnn definition**

- At each period, rotate horiz. components,
- RotD50 = 50 percentile,
- RotD100 = max,
- RotD00 = min
- Motivation: Users can use the maximum rotated motion



# Vs30 distribution

#### Measurements versus inferred values (estimated by various methods such as slop, geology,...)



PEER

Courtesy: Jon Stewart

# Why did we add small magnitude data?

#### Motivation:

- NGA-West1 models over-predicted motions for small magnitude
- In the future, we can analyze multiple events recorded at same site to characterize the site variability (singlestation Sigma)
- In the regions that have mainly small magnitude data, they can compare NGA with their data



## Magnitude scaling at small magnitude





## Public availability of the database

- On May 15, 2013, the flatfiles for horizontal components were posted at PEER web site
  - <u>http://peer.berkeley.edu/ngawest2/databases/</u>
  - All metadata
  - Spectral ordinates (RotD50) values at more than 100 periods
- Flatfile of the vertical ground motion will be posted in September 2013



## Public availability of the database

 Actual time series ("timehistories") will be available for download in Fall 2013

> PEER web site will be updated to give more choices to the users to search and download

WP	Pacific E	arthqual	ke Engineer	ing Rese	arch Ce	nter	BEI
HOME	DOCUMEN	TATION	TUTORIALS	HELP	FEEDE	ACK	PEER
es successfully	r created.						
arch							
R-NGA Spe	ctrum						
itude	6.7.25	(min.maid	10	An	<b>N</b>		
Type	Al Moes	~		and a second	And and	See.	
(sec)	E.		3		- ma		
km)	0,20.5	(min.max)	5 10		~	MA.	
(km)	: 0,20.5	(evin,max)	-	Results Geor	n Mean	-	
m/s)	: 200,300	(min,max)		Target Spect	rum .		
	: Any Record	×	Heth	Fault Normal			N
o .	: 🖸 🔤	more	37	Veticial			0
Period		family spand	10	Highlighted (	Seom. Mean		
1 Martine.	1	(continue)	10-2	10	1	0.	10
7C	11		10000	19	Penod, 7 (sec)		
	CAMPAGE AND A 12	A supply Theory and					
	Additional S	earch Options	Zoom In Tim	e (r	nin,max) Zoo	m	
d Sample V	Additional Si /alues Clear	eardi Options	Zoom in Tim El Scale Tin Fault Normal	ne (r ne Series	nin,max) Zoo	Acc	eleration 🎽
d Sample V	Additional S (olues Clear)	earch Options]	Zoom In Tim E Scale Tin Fault Normal	ne (r ne Series	nin,max) Zoo	Acc	eleration 🎽
d Sample V oht Functio	Additional S (alues) (Clear)	earch Options]	Zoom in Tim E Scale Tin Fault Normal B 0.2 g 0	ne(r ne Series	nin,max) Zoo	Acc	eleration 💌
d Sample V Ont Functi	Additional S (olues) (Clear) at ; (0.1 10	earch Cottons]	Zoom in Tim Scale Tin Fault Normal	ne(r	rin,mai) [ 200	Acc	eleration 💌
d Sample V ont Functio 1	Additional S /alues Clear an = 0.1 10 = 1 1	(min max) (wt. wt.)	Zoom in Tim El Scale Tit Fault Normal	ne(r ne Series ////////	200 205 Time (sec)	Acc 30	eleration 👻
d Sample V Sht Functi 1 It	Additional Si relives Clear an = 0.1 10 = 1 1	(min max) (wt. wt.)	Zoom in Tim El Scale Tir Faut Normal B 02 -02 -0 Faut Paralel	ne (r ne Series <b>N/N/N/1</b>	200 200 Time (sec)	Acc 30	eleration 💌
d Sample V one Functio I It	Additional Si (elues) Clear an = 0.1 10 = 1 1	(min max) (wt. wt.)	Zoom in Tim E Scale Ti Fault Normal B C Z C Z C Z C C Fault Paralel B C Z C C	ne Series	nin,max) Zoo 20 Time (sec)	a (1)	eleration 💌
d Sample V ont Functio 1 it art controls	Additional Si (elues) Clear an = 0.1 10 = 1 1	(min max) (wt. wt.)	Zoom in Tim E Scale Ti Fault Normal B C Z B C Z C Z C C Z C C C C C C C C C C C C C	e (r ne Series Mynyn a m 1e	rin,mas) 200 20 Time (sec)	30 Acc	eleration 💌
d Sample V une Functio I et controls	Additional Si (elues) Clear an = 0.1 10 = 1 1	(min max) (wt. wt.)	Zoom in Tim E Scale Tis Fault Normal B C Z S S C C Z S C C C Fault Paralel B C Z C C C C Fault Paralel B C Z C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	e (r ne Series Mynyn a ar	200 Time (sec)	m Acc	eleration ¥
d Sample V one Foreste I I T Controls	Additional Si (elues) Clear an = 0.1 10 = 1 1	(min max) (wt. wt.)	Zoom in Tim E Scale Tis Fault Normal B 0 2 0 Fault Paralel B 0 2 0 Fault Paralel B 0 2 0 Fault Paralel	e (r ne Series 18	200 200 Time (sec) 200 Time (sec)	m Acc	eleration 💌
d Sample V grit Functio i art controls	Additional Si (elues) Clear an = 0.1 10 = 1 1	(min max) (wt. wt.)	Zoom in Tim E Scale Tis Fault Normal B 0 2 0 Fault Paralel B 0 2 0 Fault Paralel B 0 2 0 Fault Paralel B 0 2 0 Vertical	e (r ne Series 18	20 20 Time (sec) 20 Time (sec)	m Acc 30	40
d Sample V gas controls it art controls	Additional Si relues Clear at = 0.1 10 = 1 1	(min max) (wt. wt.)	Zoom in Tim E Scale Tip Fault Normal B 02 0 Fault Paralel B 02 0 Fault Paralel B 02 0 Vertical B 02 0 Vertical	e (r ne Series N)) (r 18	700 (Jac) 200 200 Time (sec) 200 Time (sec)	m Acc	eleration 💌
d Sample V outs Function I It ett controls	Additional Si (elues) Clear an = 0.1 10 = 1 1	(min max) (wt. wt.)	Zoom in Tim E Scale Tis Fault Normal B 02 0 Fault Paralel B 02 0 Fault Paralel B 02 0 Vertical B 02 0 Vertical	e (r ne Series N))))) 18 18	200 Time (sec)	m Acc	40
d Sample V data Fancal it att controls	Additional Si (elues) Clear an s 0.1 10 s 1 1	(min max) (wt. wt.)	Zoom in Tim E Scale Tis Fault Normal B 02 0 Fault Paralel B 02 0 Fault Paralel B 02 0 Vertical B 02 0 Vertical	e (r ne Series N))) 18 18	200 700 700 700	m Acc	40
d Sample V data Function it ett.controls	Additional Si (elues) Clear cl	(min max) (wt. wt.)	Zoom in Tim E Scale Tis Fault Normal B 02 0 Fault Paralel B 02 0 Fault Paralel B 02 0 Vertical B 02 0 Vertical	e (r ne Series 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	200 Time (sec) 200 Time (sec) 200 Time (sec) 200 Time (sec)	m Acc	40 40 40 40
d Sample V date Function it att controls	Additional Si relues Clear = 0.1 10 = 1 1	(min max) (wt. wt.)	Zoom in Tim E Scale Tio Fault Normal B 02 23 02 0 Fault Paralel B 02 0 Control Cont	e (r ne Series 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Time (sec)	70 Acc 30 30 30 30 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	elerator 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 4
d Sample V drit Functio it at controls	Additional Si (elues) Clear = 0.1 10 = 1 1	(min max) (wt. wt.)	Zoom in Tim E Scale Tio Fault Normal B 02 y 02 0 Fault Paralel B 02 0 Vertical B 02 0 Vertical B 02 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	e (r ne Series 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	nin(max) Zoo 200 Time (sec) 200 Time (sec) 200 Time (sec) 200 Time (sec) 200 Time (sec)	m Acc 30 30 30 30 ellow befor	elenator 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 4

#### **Update NGA GMPEs for horizontal motion**

- Using the latest database, and
- Using supporting research on:
  - HW/FW model using simulations data
  - Update of nonlinear soil response
  - New classification of "main shock" vs "aftershocks"
  - Directivity of ground motion



## **NGA-West2 GMPE Developer Teams**

- Abrahamson-Silva-Kamai (ASK)
- Boore-Stewart-Seyhan-Atkinson (BSSA)
- Campbell-Bozorgnia (CB)
- Chiou-Youngs (CY)
- Idriss (I)



#### Comparison of NGA-West2 GMPEs: Strike-Slip, Vs30=760m/s





Courtesy: Nick Gregor

#### Comparison of NGA-West2 GMPEs: Reverse, HW, Dip=45, Vs30=760m/s



PEER

#### Courtesy: Nick Gregor

## Specific example: Campbell-Bozorgnia 2013 model





## Specific example: Campbell-Bozorgnia 2013 model



R<sub>RUP</sub> (km)



### Campbell-Bozorgnia 2013 model: Magnitude scaling



 $M_{w}$ 



## Campbell-Bozorgnia 2013 model: Magnitude scaling



 $M_{w}$ 



### Campbell-Bozorgnia 2013 model: Hanging-wall effects





#### Campbell-Bozorgnia 2013 model: Standard deviation: Total



T (sec)



#### Campbell-Bozorgnia 2013 model: Standard deviation: Total, Small Mag



T(sec)



# **Regionalization of GMPE**

- We found up to about 80km the behavior of distance attenuation of ground motion is almost universal
- Distance attenuation beyond 80km, can be regionalized. Different Anelastic attenuation:
  - Japan/Italy: Higher attenuation rate
  - China: Lower attenuation rate
  - Than the rest of the world
- We found that soil amplification in Japan slightly different than California for Vs30>200m/sec; and very different for Vs30<200m/sec</li>

#### **USGS has reviewed and adopted NGA-West2 GMPEs for the next US National Hazard Maps**



#### **Develop GMPEs for vertical component**

- NGA-West1 models predicted only horizontal ground motions
- Recorded data have shown that vertical ground motion can be large at the sites close to active faults
- Vertical GMPEs will be available in
   September 2013



Do not use 2/3 to scale horizontal motion to get vertical



# Damping scaling of response spectra

 Scale GMPEs for damping other than 5%:

0.5% to 30%

 Damping scaling model is final;
 PEER report already published



PEER

# Damping scaling of response spectra

- We have a model to scale spectral ordinates as a function of
  - Damping
  - Magnitude
  - Distance



Duration was important, but took care of the effects approximately through magnitude and distance



#### Directivity

- NGA-West1 models did not explicitly include directivity of ground motion
- Five directivity models have been developed
  - Wide-band and narrow-band models
- This effort will continue in 2013-14



#### **Directionality (Polarization)**

- NGA models are for "geometric mean" horizontal components
- Develop max and min rotated spectra, as a function of mag, distance,...
- Examine relationship of max/min spectra with RotD50 (50 percentile) spectra



Ref: Boore (2010)



#### Site Response

- NGA-West1 site amplification factors are inconsistent with NEHRP site amplification factors
- Goal: To make NEHRP and NGA site amplifications consistent
  - Propose changes in NEHRP factors
- This is both scientific and consensusbuilding task
- Final report will be available to the public in August 2013



# Many people have been involved in NGA-West2

- Technical Coordination Committee:
  - Abrahamson, Bozorgnia, Campbell
- External reviewers and oversight committee:
  - Chris Wills, Mark Petersen, John Anderson, Roger Borcherdt, Silvia Mazzoni, Farzad Naeim

#### Funding agencies representatives:

Badie Rowshandel & Tom Shantz



#### People involved in NGA-West2 per Tasks

 Database: Ancheta, Darragh, Chiou, Silva, Stewart, Seyhan, Graves, Wooddell, Katke, Boore, Kishida, Al Atik, NGA developers

#### GMPE Developers:

- Abrahamson & Silva
- Campbell & Bozorgnia
- Chiou & Youngs
- Boore-Stewart-Seyhan-Atkinson
- Idriss
- Damping: Rezaeian, Bozorgnia, Idriss, Abrahamson, Campbell, Silva & GMPE developers



#### People involved in NGA-West2 per Tasks (Cont'd)

- Vertical: GMPE developers
- Directivity: Spudich, Chiou, Baker, Shahi,



Putting together pieces of a complicated puzzle through a coordinated multidisciplinary <u>Team Work</u>



# **NGA-East Project**



# NGA- East

- Goal: To develop next generation Ground Motion Prediction Equations (GMPEs) for Central & Eastern US
- Stable Continental Regions (SCRs)

#### Sponsors: NRC, EPRI, USGS, DOE

EPCI





#### Database:

Compile a database of recorded motions from CEUS and other Stable Continental Regions (SCRs)

#### Geoetchnical Engineering:

Linear and nonlinear site responses; reference rock condition; reference "Kappa"; ...



## **NGA-East: Selected Sub-Projects**

 Source-Site Path: Investigate geometrical spreading steeper than 1/R; Q; ...

 Ground Motion Simulation: Generate calibrated & validated simulated GMs for CEUS to be used by GMPE developers



#### GMPE Development

- Next generation attenuation models for CEUS; applicable to magnitude 4-8, distances of 0-1000 km
- 5% damped response spectra at periods
  0.01 to 10 sec
- Project will be completed by May 2015



# **NGA-Subduction**



#### NGA-Sub: Phase I; Collection of Data from Tohoku and 2010 Chile EQs

- In collaboration with Prof.
  Midorikawa
- For Tohoku EQ, PEER already processed:
  - More than 427 recordings for the main shock
  - About 7 foreshocks (about 600 recordings total)
  - About 45 aftershocks from M 6–7.7 (>3000 recordings total)



# NGA-Sub: Phase II

- **Phase II**: Collection and processing of data and metadata from other subduction earthquakes worldwide:
  - Other Japan EQs, e.g., 2003 Tokachi-Oki EQ, M 8.3
  - Alaska, Chile, Mexico, Peru, Taiwan, CSZ, ...
- Collect and process aftershock data
- Develop new GMPE for subduction



Reference: Tokachi-Oki EQ, by Macias, Atkinson, and Motazedian (2008)



# NGA-Sub: Phase III

- Phase III (pending funding):
- Carry out validated simulations to fill the gap in empirical data
- Develop multiple GMPEs by multiple developer teams (epistemic uncertainty)
- Examine directivity
- To be completed by May 2016



# **GEM Global GMPEs Project**

- GEM: Global Earthquake Model
  - An international non-profit organization
- The PEER project goal is to select a set of GMPEs for global PSHA, by using a collaborative approach
  - To be used for global hazard and risk analyses by GEM
- PEER Team: 27 international experts from around the world
- Schedule: On April, 30, 2013 seven final reports were submitted to the GEM Foundation



# **On-Going & Future Work**

- NGA-Subduction and NGA-East are ongoing
- We are preparing proposal for NGA-West3
  - Expanding the applicability of GMPEs for harder rock and softer soil
  - Inclusion of "Kappa" in GMPEs
  - Inclusion of topographic effects
  - Inclusion of simulation (+ empirical data)
  - • •
- PEER is very interested in collaborating with Japan, China, Korea and Taiwan on all ground motion hazard issues

# THANK YOU!

